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Abstract

Now a days, Natural Language Processing (NLP) tasks are everywhere around us like, suggest in search, automatic Gmail replies, machine translation. The challenges in the field of NLP, is having lots of text data in the form of reviews available in the online platforms like Twitter and Facebook. It requires huge man power in making use of this data in deriving useful insights from the text data. The better way to reduce the human effort and reduce the time taken in making the text data ready for decision making in Text2Img representation. The approach followed in this paper is to convert text in to image. Later, apply Machine Learning Algorithms (MLA) to perform prediction based on user choice. In the experiment conducted, we constructed a word cloud to understand the theme (Love and Time) of the artist. In addition, to that applied MLA - Random Forest (RF) and achieved 78% classification accuracy on the dataset considered
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I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, our discussion is mainly on how to represent pieces of text with vectors. So, that TF_IDF is computed between vectors. Which is used in the popular NLP applications like: text classification [1] or duplicate detection, named entity recognition. There are three main approaches in NLP: one, Rule-Based approaches. In which, regular expressions would be used. Second, making use of Traditional machine learning algorithms. And the last one would be deep learning that has recently gained lots of popularity in NLP. In Rule-Based approach, the context-free grammars shows what would be the rules to produce some words. Now, this context-free grammar is made in use to construct parse of data. Which helps is determining list of non-terminal words. The disadvantage of this approach is to frame the rules manually, this is very time consuming. Usually, rule-based approaches [2] have high precision but low recall. Now, another approach would be to build some machine learning system. Which requires training data (or) corpus with some Label (Supervised Corpus) [3]. On top of which, the probabilistic model are used in deriving the probability of each word in given text input. But generally, these models should be trained with selected parameters and fit the model to data. The similar steps are followed in deep learning approach in training the Convolutional Neural Network (CNN). Deep learning methods perform a vital role in many tasks in NLP. Like, word2vec method which is actually not even deep learning but it is inspired by CNN.
The paper is organized as follows: In Introduction Section, Background knowledge, applications and approaches of NLP is described. In Methodology Section, the steps followed in Text mining and analyzing the insights from Text is presented with all the technical details. In Result and Discussion section, the details of experiments and the outcome is interpreted with the help of equations. In Conclusion and Future work section, the limitation and advantages of the research work with the future direction to address the limitation in the present work.

II. Proposed Algorithm

There are different stages of analysis for that sentence. The first stage, is morphological stage, would be about different forms of words (Part Of Speech (POS)). Then the next stage, syntactical analysis, will be about different relations between words in the sentence. The next stage, once we know some synthetic structures, would be about semantics. So, semantics is about deriving the meaning. The last stage is pragmatics would be of highest level abstraction. Stanford parser is for synthetic analysis that provides different options and has really lots of different models built in. Whereas Gensim and MALLET would be about more high level abstractions. Let us take sentiment analysis as an application area, where one can have a text of review as an input, and produce the class of sentiment as output (Ex: It could be two classes like positive and negative). One can think of text as a sequence of tokens. The process of extracting those tokens, is called Tokenization, and token is like a meaningful chunk of our text. It could be a word (or) sentence. Next is to normalize those tokens using either stemming or lemmatization.

Next is to transform extracted tokens into features for our model. A simple counter features in bag of words manner. In which, each text is replaced by a huge vector of counters. Similarly to preserve local ordering add n-grams. It actually improves the quality of text classification. Replace the counters with Term Frequency – Inverse Document (TF-IDF) [4] values and that usually gives a performance boost.

The steps followed in applying Machine Learning algorithm (Random forest) on the text data are:

1. Created the corpus
2. Clean the Text data in corpus using tm_map()
3. Removing all the English stop words
4. Perform stemming on the corpus
5. Creation of Document Term Matrix (DTM) [5],
6. Estimated TF-IDF values from the corpus.
7. Eliminated the Sparse Terms based on frequencies having confidence level 0.995.
8. Partitioning the data as training (75%) and testing (25%) datasets.
9. Apply Random forest algorithm to obtain confusion matrix [6].
10. Obtained the Accuracy of the model from confusion matrix.

The summary on the dataset considers in the experiments have 824 observations and 20 instances. In which, Text is the name of the instances having the review of the user. The same is presented in Fig. 1
After all the preprocessing steps applied to the Text review in the dataset, the resulted Text Review is presented in Fig. 2.

In Fig. 3, the categories of charted and uncharted songs with count represent as y-axis is presented. Similarly, on right hand side the top 10 songs for each year is plotted (x-axis).
All the categories of classes (Top 10, Top 100 and uncharted) is plotted in Fig. 4 towards finding the popularity of the artist from years (1970 to 2010). The details of other attributes of the dataset is plotted in Fig. 5.

After constructing the TDM, each song can be classified based on word count as shown in the Fig. 6
Later, the distribution of word count [7] on the dataset considered is plotted in Fig. 7. Where all the categories of target variable is considered. This data helps in constructing the wordcloud [8] as shown in Fig. 8.
Fig. 8. Word Cloud of Dataset

Fig. 9. Popular words by chart Level

The new insight, from the data can be popular words used by chart level. that helps in understanding the theme of the artist (Love and Time) as shown in the Fig. 9. and year-wise in the Fig. 10.
III. Experiment and Result

To apply Machine Learning algorithms (MLA) for Natural Language processing, the datasets from sklearn package is considered. From which the text data is extracted and TF-IDF is estimated for all the documents in the dataset. MLA’s namely Random Forest and achieved accuracy of 78%. The steps followed in applying Machine Learning algorithm (Random forest) on the text data are: 1. Created the corpus, 2. Clean the Text data in corpus using tm_map(), 3. Removing all the English stop words, 4. perform stemming on the corpus, 5. creation of Document Term Matrix (DTM), 6. partitioning the data as training (75%) and testing (25%) datasets. 7. Apply Random forest algorithm to obtain confusion matrix. 8. Obtained the Accuracy of the model from confusion matrix as shown in the Eq. (1)

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{(TP + TN)}{(TP + TN + FP + FN)}
\]  

(1)

Where TP is Total number of True Positives, TN is True Negative, FP is False Positive and FN is False negatives. The values obtained in the experiment is plotted in the Eq. (2)

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{12+105}{(12+105)+(28+5)} = 78\%
\]  

(2)

Similarly the other models like Support Vector Machine (SVM), Navie Bayes (NB) and Decision Tree (DT) can be applied to the text and achieve better accuracy.

The research work carried out by the author in [9], is on classification on teacher rating in kindergartners for language have achieved 77% of sensitivity. Whereas, our approach yields to have 78% of classification accuracy on the dataset considered in the experiment.
IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, our aim is to covert the input Text data in to image format and apply MLA on the data and predict the accuracy. In the experiment conducted, Random forest algorithm is applied and achieved 78% of accuracy. Towards presenting the text data in to image, that helps in deriving insights from Text data, the same is plotted in methodology section. The limitation of our study is only random forest is applied and achieved the 78% accuracy. Yet to apply other Machine Learning algorithms and compare the performance in terms of accuracy. In Future, we would like to list out the advantages and drawbacks of each techniques in representing the text as image and apply different machine Learning algorithm
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